


# CHARLESTON LAW REVIEW

Volume 2   Spring 2008   Number 2



*After Philip Morris v. Williams: What is Left of the  
“Single Digit” Ratio?*

*Anthony Sebok*

*Clinging to Federalism: How Reluctance to Amend  
State Law-Based Punitive Damages Procedures  
Impedes Due Process*

*Anthony J. Franze*

*Fairness through Guidance: Jury Instruction on  
Punitive Damages after Philip Morris v. Williams.*

*Neil Vidmar and  
Matthew W. Wolfe*

*Peace: A Public Purpose for Punitive Damages?*

*Christopher J. Robinette*

*Due Process and Punitive Damages: An Economic  
Approach*

*Keith N. Hylton*

*Putting the Cart Before the Horse: The Prejudicial  
Practice of a “Reverse Bifurcation” Approach to  
Punitive Damages*

*Victor E. Schwartz and  
Christopher E. Appel*

*Class Action Treatment of Punitive Damages  
Issues after Philip Morris v. Williams: We Can  
Get There from Here*

*Elizabeth J. Cabraser and  
Robert J. Nelson*

*Now it’s Personal: Punishment and Mass Tort  
Litigation after Philip Morris v. Williams*

*Byron G. Stier*

*The Uncert-Worthiness of the Courts Unmaking of  
Punitive Damages*

*Michael J. Rustad*